

COLESHILL PARISH COUNCIL

MINUTES

Of the Parish Council meeting held on Monday 15th March 2021 at 7.30 pm, via Video due to Covid 19 pandemic

Parish Councillors: Tony Treacy (AT) Chairman
Nigel Suttie (NS)
Linda Daly (LD)

Jonathan Herbert (JH) - from 19.34pm
Andrew Davis (AD)

Parish Clerk: Lynda Jackson (LJ)

County Councillors: Cllr. Tim Butcher (TB), Cllr. Jonathan Waters (JB) from 20.05pm

Members of Public: 8

- 1. Apologies for Absence:** Cllrs. Jackson & Burton (BC), Cllr Cadman
- 2. Minutes of previous meetings:** It was moved by AT and **resolved** that the minutes of the Parish Council meeting held on Monday 18th January 2021 be signed as a true record of the meeting. This will be done by the Clerk sending hard copies to the Chairman by post for return. It was noted that an email had been received from Mrs P Ware late on 15.3.21 querying written information about the Common. It was agreed that when recording information, in future, regarding the Common it will be referred to as Common Management Committee (CMC).
- 3. Councillor vacancies** – 1. AT welcomed Louise Templeton to the meeting who had shown an interest in becoming a Councillor. Louise had asked after the last meeting to be considered for the Councillor vacancy. AT proposed that Louise be accepted as a CPC Councillor, this was seconded by JH & AD. Louise Templeton`s appointment as a parish councillor was approved unanimously. Due to Covid restrictions Louise signed the acceptance of office in front of everyone virtually on the video call. Louise joined the meeting as Councillor Templeton.
- 4. Declaration of Interest:** LT Item 7i). Westrip, Barrack Hill
- 5. Matters arising:** Water leak off Tower Road affecting the Public Right of Way and Brickwick

NS informed the meeting that a water hydrant on the verge by Springfield Cottage had been hit by a vehicle causing a serious water leak affecting the PROW and Brickwick. A meeting was arranged with Affinity Water that included NS representing CPC, Savannah Fardley representing Buckinghamshire Council, Dryright and the Goodwins- owners of Brickwick. The path has now been repaired with sand and stones. The plastic pipe that was supposed to have work done has not. NS advised the meeting the problem has not gone away as the water hydrant is unprotected and could be hit again and as there is no drain on Springfield Cottage side of Tower Road to take any water away. A kerbstone should be placed in front of the hydrant to protect it or the hydrant should be moved. It was agreed that the Clerk would contact Affinity Water and the PROW team to raise the concerns.

Meeting Closed: 19:51

DEMOCRATIC PERIOD-

- Ann Lawrence regarding the Rabbit Plan application. Mrs Lawrence wanted to know what was going on.
- Len Tridgell (LT) gave an update on current planning applications. LT informed the meeting that the Brentford Grange application is on hold after CPC`s response to the application and concerns about what would happen if the Pond overflowed. Archaeological remains have also been found on the

COLESHILL PARISH COUNCIL

MINUTES

Of the Parish Council meeting held on Monday 15th March 2021 at 7.30 pm, via Video due to Covid 19 pandemic

site. LT advised the Rabbit Farm application has had over 100 responses from far and wide. LT has written to the agent in a private capacity. JW advised the application would be called in to Committee if the officer recommended approval. The Council conveyed their thanks to LT for all his hard work on supporting CPC with application responses especially the recent Rabbit Farm submission.

- Len Tridgell (LT) advised the meeting that he is on a mailing list for planning policies and would send CPC a copy of his response to the Biodiversity consultation.

Meeting re-opened: 20:04

6. Clerk's Report: - The Clerk had circulated a report and update on the following prior to the meeting with some items requiring action from Council.

Parish Charter survey extended deadline to 31.3.21- Noted. All Councillors were encouraged to attend one of the briefing sessions being held by BALC on 16th, 18th & 25th March.

Elections – Details of the upcoming Parish elections were noted. It was **agreed** that:

1. All Councillors confirm to the Clerk that they wish to stand again for Coleshill Parish Council.
2. Councillors complete their nomination packs fully.
3. Completed nomination packs to be confirmed to the Clerk so that collection can be made by 31st March.

Clerk's forum 20.1.21 & Amersham Hub Clerks meeting 21.1.21 – LJ had attended both and gave CPC a written update.

Virtual Meetings after 7th May – No formal notification has been given about when Meetings should return to face to face.

7. Report from Planning, BC updates:

i) **Ref. No: PL/21/0130/FA** | Erection of a temporary rural workers dwelling and agricultural building with access and parking. Land at Magpie Lane Amersham Road Coleshill **OBJECTIONS**
Objections in detail:

1) **Introduction, history** - The site is located on designated Green Belt land within Chilterns AONB and adjacent to a very busy main road. This is the third Application by the present owner or Companies with whom they are associated.

2) **Changed site plan** - The current Application is in most respects identical to Application CH/2018/0573/FA which was refused on 21/12/2018. The Plans include slight reorientation of the proposed buildings and additional supporting documents are now provided in response to the previous reasons for refusal. The supporting information describes the operational requirements for "free-range" rabbit farming. It is assumed that the Description of the Proposal on the current application form includes consideration of the new vehicular access and the intended specialized farming operations at the Application site, so far as those operations can be controlled through Planning Law and relevant Policies. The Planning Authority's Agricultural Officer is respectfully asked to take the observations in Paras 3) to 9), 15) & 16) hereunder into account.

3) **Researched information, resultant concerns, traffic** - In addition to the Application documents we have investigated other information on Rabbit Farming including publications by the RSPCA, the Farming Trade Press and the European Scientific Panel on Animal Health and Welfare (AHAW) report on farmed rabbit housing and industry.

It is clear that rabbit farming requires the need for frequent collections and deliveries of live and dead animals, fodder, bedding, waste and other materials. We are deeply concerned at the evidently high levels of disease and mortality which seem to be a normal expectation in this type of farming. The clear need for frequent movements of commercial road traffic to and from the site is wholly unacceptable. This was evidently not accommodated in the previous Traffic Officer's Report. Indeed the whole nature of the operations involved should perhaps warrant consideration of this application as one for Change of Use from Agricultural to Commercial. (See also 14) hereunder).

COLESHILL PARISH COUNCIL

MINUTES

Of the Parish Council meeting held on Monday 15th March 2021 at 7.30 pm, via Video due to Covid 19 pandemic

- 4) **Disease risk**- Whether it is appropriate or otherwise for Planning Officers to consider Rabbit Farming methodology as part of the Planning process, it is clear that despite the Applicant's stated experience in the industry, the potential for risk of disease and pollution affecting not only the farmed rabbits, but also the local wild animal and even human population must be heeded.
- 5) **Environmental concerns** - The suggestion that "free range" principles would be employed on this site, close to the Hodgemoor Wood SSSI, and where uncontrolled run-off from ground distributed droppings and the percolation from urine soaked hutch litter into the Chiltern Aquifer cannot be considered acceptable. (Note that the East and South boundaries of OS Field 5828 overlay a Northern feeder branch to the Alderbourne system of dry valleys in the chalk landscape.)
- 6) **Operational concerns, pollution** - "Free Range" rabbit farms require not only a large central barn for fodder storage, protection of nursing doe rabbits and other purposes, but also a large number of group habitats each with a serviced hutch and grazing/activity pen. The hutch and pen assemblies are described in a supplement to the Application Design and Method Statement but the Site Plan does not give the slightest indication of the intended area(s) for placing these (obviously moveable and potentially odorous) enclosures. As with hutch-bred rabbit farming, the central barn is necessary for important stages of the "free-range" breeding process, ideally including provision of artificial lighting, insulation and ventilation. The risk of artificial light pollution in the rural location would not be acceptable. The proposed three sided open fronted barn is unlikely to provide adequate protection for the purposes required.
- 7) **Odour hazards, Certified Processors** - The process of breeding and raising rabbits in the farm building (Barn) and the attendant hutch and grazing pen enclosures will undoubtedly give rise to unhealthy gaseous odours, particularly Ammonia, Hydrogen Sulphide and CO₂, and undesirable odour pollution in the immediately surrounding area. Slaughter, refrigeration and meat processing procedures require the services of certified processors local to the area of operation. It is not clear whether the Applicant has such arrangements in place elsewhere or whether the intention is to execute these processes at the site.
- 8) **Fencing, provision for wildlife. Rat risk** - The fenced enclosures for "free- range" grazing and exercise appear to be the only means for containment against escape of farmed rabbits to and beyond the outside field. Any type of close fencing round the perimeter of or subdividing OS Field 5828 for secondary protection against escape and/or protection from predators must however be forbidden to protect long established routes of East/West passage across the area for the local Roe and Muntjac deer and other animals, this in the manner achieved in the boundaries of other nearby fields where large mesh fencing and "deer-hops" have been installed. It is also assumed that the well-established local populations of wild rabbits, badgers, and the remaining mole and hedgehog population would have to be culled to prevent cross-contamination of disease. It is however inevitable with this type of farming, that invasive rats will be attracted, and prove impossible to keep completely under control.
- 9) **Avian predators and related risks** - The Ecological Report includes Red Kite, Magpie and Carrion Crow in the list of Observed Species. Not mentioned are the well-established local populations of Buzzards and various smaller Raptors. Both the Red Kite and Buzzard are likely to be un-naturally attracted by the concentrated presence of live and dead carrion. The inland seagull population generated by the presence of large landfill and waste tipping operations adjacent to the A40/M40 corridor a few miles to the South is likely to be similarly attracted. The potential for spread of existing and newly introduced diseases among both ground-dwelling animals and the avian population to and from introduced Rabbit species is yet another sound reason against acceptance of the Applicants proposals.
- 10) **Access from Magpie Lane** - The Previous (Refused) Application included a near identical plan to that now proposed for a new vehicular access onto Magpie Lane and service road within the site. This repeat proposal to form a new entry route for commercial vehicles through an unacceptably wide opening the hedge lined boundary from the heavily shaded narrow carriageway of Magpie

COLESHILL PARISH COUNCIL

MINUTES

Of the Parish Council meeting held on Monday 15th March 2021 at 7.30 pm, via Video due to Covid 19 pandemic

Lane would seriously damage its rural character. In addition, the slow manoeuvring of large vehicles at this new junction would be obstructive and hazardous to the normal local traffic use of Magpie Lane. Note current Proposals and application currently under consideration for reduction of Speed and Weight limits for traffic on Magpie Lane.

11) **Access Road construction and layout concerns** - The Construction Details provided for the proposed access (whether for the entry point only or the whole of the on-site road not being indicated) are clearly intended for permanent construction more in the nature of a residential highway with concrete kerb and concrete strip edgings containing deep foundation baseworks topped out with impermeable tarmac pavings. The road layout within the site contains sharp changes in direction which could be considered to facilitate the possible future enclosure of a rectangle at the south-west corner of the field for separate and differing purposes. Note that the central length of the road shown is oddly aligned parallel to the rear boundary of "Greenstead", the adjacent single dwelling on the north side of Magpie Lane. No surface water drainage is shown for the accessway.

12) **Temporary dwelling, location, etc** - To justify the Applicant's stated need for an on-site dwelling for (initially) a single Rural Worker to manage the rabbit farming operation, considerable emphasis is placed on his unsuccessful attempts to find suitable (and affordable) alternative accommodation in the surrounding area. However the attempt to suggest there is no alternative but to locate such a dwelling, including solid foundation and integral foul drainage facilities within the site under "Permitted Rights" guidelines must not be allowed to over-ride the constraints which apply to land which is designated as Green Belt and lies within the Chilterns AONB. The same constraints should also be considered in relation to the design and location of proposed permanent and unsightly rabbit farming Barn structure, but any suggestion that this be located any closer to nearby dwellings and Public House/Restaurant is clearly out of the question in view of the odour problem mentioned elsewhere in these Objections.

13) **Building and Services, Permanency concerns** - Both of the proposed buildings will require provision of mains water and electric services. If construction of the "temporary" dwelling were to be permitted, together with solid, insulated foundations and below ground sewerage facility, these would consolidate the permanence of the temporary building. In the event of the rabbit farming business failing to succeed, the hitherto undeveloped area would have suffered serious and possibly irreparable damage. Should there be any possibility of this Application being approved, the Conditions to such Approval should include a legally binding requirement on the Applicant or his successors in title to completely restore the site to its original condition.

14) **Bad siting and appearance** - The un-screened prominent positioning of both of the proposed buildings near the centre of the site is seriously unsympathetic with the open-ness of the protected rural landscape. None but simple un-detailed drawings have been provided to indicate any attempt to mitigate their bland, industrial appearance, totally inappropriate to their location.

15) **Tree nursery** - Para. 25 of the Agent's covering letter makes reference to the continuing development of the tree nursery. No details have been included to demonstrate the existence of any such use for any part of OS Field 5828 for such purposes, save only for an indication that that treated waste from the rabbit rearing activity may be used for tree potting purposes.

16) **Suggestion of Change to Commercial Use** - The difficulties of rearing rabbits, including the alarmingly high mortality rate of newborn kits, disease control and the intensive levels of on-site labour required are acknowledged by the Applicant in Part 4 of the Rural Worker's Temporary Dwelling Appraisal, and supported in the publications referred to in Para, 3 above. Undeniably "Agriculture" is defined as the Science or Practice of cultivating the soil and rearing of animals. However and in repetition of the observation in 3) above, the intense levels of human labour, the buildings required and the supportive transport, artificial lighting, foddering, waste management and continuous veterinary attention required to establish and safely maintain the business of a rabbit

COLESHILL PARISH COUNCIL

MINUTES

Of the Parish Council meeting held on Monday 15th March 2021 at 7.30 pm, via Video due to Covid 19 pandemic

farm give good reason to suggest that this Application should be reconsidered as being a Change from its long established Agricultural Usage to one for Commercial Use.

17) Support to previously stated objections, including Chilterns Conservation Board and Planning Authority - The above Objections embrace all of the reasons for objection stated by this Parish Council to the earlier Refused Application Ref CH/2018/0573/FA in the letter dated 28 May 2018, and include several additional reasons for concern which we respectfully request that the Case Officer will take into account.

It is to be hoped that The Chilterns Conservation Board will provide similar valuable reasons for objecting to the proposal as they did to the last refused Application.

The Case Officer's Report on that earlier Application included very thorough and fair consideration to all aspects of that project, and it is pleasing to note that the same Officer has been selected to deal with these latest proposals in which the Applicant has endeavoured to respond to the previously given Reasons for Refusal.

Ref. No: PL/21/0315/FA | Part demolition of ground floor side element, single storey side infill extension, recladding of existing first floor dormer, garage conversion to accommodation with 2 roof lights, roof light to front elevation, modification to existing gable (front elevation) to form additional floor space at first floor, new entrance porch, covered walkway to side with green roof and brick pillars, front drive and parking area, internal changes and changes to windows and doors. Ardlair Village Road Coleshill HP7 0LG

NO OBJECTION but...

The Council were concerned about the colour of the cladding that is proposed to be used, they felt it was not in keeping with the surroundings. Another comment was the Ecology report it is very basic & appears to be a tick box exercise and asks therefore whether a wildlife/nocturnal bat or bat survey should be completed.

Ref. No: PL/21/0664/FA Outbuilding and 2m high fencing to the back of the rear garden. Westrip Barrack Hill Coleshill HP7 0LW – awaiting information from Cllr. Cadman as to CPC response.

ii) BC updates- TB advised the meeting he had been chasing a dog bin not a litter bin for the Jack Adams Field he will go back to Waste Services. TB informed the meeting that BC had approved a 1.9% increase in Council Tax within an additional 2% for social care. BC are heavily involved in Covid grants with 93% spent supporting local businesses. Work has started on the Local Plan for Buckinghamshire which is expected to take 5yrs.

JW informed the meeting that BC are in the very early stages as they want to look at how things could be done differently. They are looking at how to evaluate Town centres. There are opportunities to look at environment issues and using technology for new buildings that are carbon neutral or positive to offset those that can't be changed. BC must ensure they are defending the AONB & Green Belt which has its challenges.

There has been no updates from Planning Enforcement on Waggoners Bits. JW advised more monies are being put in to get visits done. JW will follow up with Darren Eggleton that CPC is not getting any responses to concerns raised.

- Buckinghamshire Local Plan – Statement of Community Involvement and Brownfield Call for Site - It was **agreed** that a response should be sent informing Planning policy that there are no Brownfield sites within the parish.

- Buckinghamshire Council Consultation 17:00 19 February – 23:59 19 March 2021 Biodiversity Accounting Supplementary Planning Document – It was **agreed** that a response drafted by Len Tridgell would be used as a template for the Council's response.

- HS2 Road Safety Fund – JH advised the meeting that the application is partly completed and awaiting costings from Bucks. With 800 lorry movements per day, at its peak, predicted along the A413 & A355 it was imperative that the weight restriction and speed limit change were implemented. JH had attended a meeting of the Beaconsfield Community Hub prior to the meeting,

COLESHILL PARISH COUNCIL

MINUTES

Of the Parish Council meeting held on Monday 15th March 2021 at 7.30 pm, via Video due to Covid 19 pandemic

the new by-pass, which is still not operating, is now deemed unsuitable for HS2 vehicles. The recent Amersham Community hub meeting had received information from TFB that the request for a weight limit on the village had been downgraded to `Not Suitable for HGV`s`. JH advised there was a lot of support from the Hub members and that they would push back on the change. TB offered to speak to officers regarding both requests to give more local knowledge to the requests, the recent issues due to Gore Hill closure and also this is a proactive project.

- Waggoners Bits update – see item above from Bucks Members

iii) Transport report- JH covered this topic in his reports above plus went on to report on the TFB conference he attended.

8. Report from Open Spaces: AD NS had provided a report on their responsibility areas and the items needed action and approval. This was circulated to Councillors prior to the meeting.

i) Monthly Play inspections –AD had completed inspections at both sites. Council **approved**:

The purchase of 15 bags of play bark at a cost of £110, a tin of weatherproof paint for the slide roof and replacement Covid 19 posters for both sites at a cost of £12.74.

ii) Jack Adams Field play trail & outdoor gym inspection and action plan – AD had analysed the inspection report and had formulated a plan for correcting some of the issues raised by the inspector. The Council **agreed** that the outdoor gym should remain where it was. JH felt that most families would prefer to leave it where it is. Once the gate has been repaired Council **approved** the £20 cost of new adjustable gate springs if the current ones do not close correctly when reapplied. It was **agreed** that LJ should source a user manual for the rowing apparatus or report if under warranty.

iii) 6 monthly inspection of the willows –GT & NS had looked at the willows and although not experts could not find any obvious problems. It was agreed that the Common & Pond trees should ideally have a tree survey completed by an expert so that any immediate concerns can be dealt with, it would also help with budget setting in the future and ensure good tree health.

iv) Common Paths Plan & Quote – NS advised that Common Management Committee (CMC) had a meeting with The Conservation Volunteers the following day to discuss options for the paths and their recommendations. NS advised the bridge at the lower end of the Common had been removed as it had been deemed unsafe. A quote for pathwork had been received by R & R but as some of the paths had now been re-routed not all of the work quoted for was now necessary. At some point mobility access would be an advantage for the Common but at the moment CMC will concentrate on getting immediate work done and then encourage villagers to contribute to larger projects.

v) Request to sell part of Hill Meadow play area land to a resident – A request had been received from Mr & Mrs Richardson to sell part of the play area that backed on to their garden. AT had produced a report on the history of the land, the Paradigm restrictions, and the historical costs of maintaining the play area. Councillors were asked to consider 2 options:

1. to seek the solution whereby CPC relinquishes its obligations to maintain the playground to its current standard, either by returning the land to Paradigm, through change of use or sale or
2. To confirm it is the wish of the Council to continue to maintain the Hill Meadow playground.

Council agreed unanimously to option 2. The Clerk was asked to communicate the decision to Mr & Mrs Richardson.

vi) Colehill Cricket Club nets update, housekeeping complaint & lease renewal– AT had circulated a report on the background to the request and the current position re: the Clubs planning application. Since the last meeting legal advice had been received regarding the Covenant in the Deed of Gift of the land. It was also noted that the current nets had in fact been gifted to the Club by Mr & Mrs Livesey in their current location and a plaque stood informing the public of this gift. 4 proposals had been put forward to support the Cricket Club in reaching its objective:

1. The new nets are a single net and on the current footprint of the existing net.

COLESHILL PARISH COUNCIL

MINUTES

Of the Parish Council meeting held on Monday 15th March 2021 at 7.30 pm, via Video due to Covid 19 pandemic

2. The all-weather strip is located as per the plan submitted to Council.
3. That confirmation is received from Buckinghamshire Planning that planning approval is not required for either works.
4. If either the nets or all-weather strip require planning approval then the application is sent to CPC so that approval to submit can be agreed.

Councillors **approved** unanimously all 4 proposals.

The complaint received from Mrs Livesey that *'The lack of good husbandry by the cricket club does raise significant concerns with a number of residents'* has been discussed with the Club both by CPC and Mrs Livesey and both have received assurances from the Club Chairman that all points will be addressed through a detailed plan drawn up by the Club. It was **agreed** that LJ will write back to Mrs Livesey communicating that the matter has now been dealt with by CPC as the Landlord.

9. Finance: The RFO had provided a report which was circulated to Councillors prior to the meeting.

i) Cashbook, Bank balances and reconciliation as at 28.02.21– the previously circulated documents were **approved**. LJ advised that she had received numerous calls from TSB that all Councillors, as decision makers, must be listed on the Councils accounts. A new mandate will be completed for AD, LD & LT.

ii) Clerks & RFO contract hours – prior to the meeting AT had contacted all Councillors regarding the Clerks contract hours which had not changed since 2015. With the increase in workload it was felt that the core hours should increase to 8hrs per week although it was expected that it would sometimes mean that the Clerk could work more or less than that. The Clerk is paid for the actual hours they work. Council **approved** unanimously to increase the Clerks hours to 8 hours per week which would also be reflected in holiday entitlement.

iii) Village Day funds request – Council had received a request from Judith Aylett, who organised the last Village Day, if the funds remaining from that event could be held by CPC for future events. Council **approved** unanimously that the funds can be held in its 'Earmarked funds account' and that if anyone wishing to organise a Village Day event can apply for a grant from these funds.

iv) S137 limit 2021-22 – Noted.

10. Items for payment:

The payments CB92-through to 105 for February & March totalling £2618.88 (Inc. VAT) were **approved**.

PAYMENT OF ACCOUNTS FOR MARCH 2021

CB No.	NAME	ITEM	TOTAL	VAT	NET
CB20-92	L Jackson	January wages	555.61	0.00	555.61
CB20-93	L Jackson	phone top up, 1&1 fee	21.99	2.00	19.99
CB20-94	C Wege	CMC reimbursements tools & first aid equipment	84.18	14.03	70.15
CB20-95	A Treacy	zoom subscriptions	28.78	4.80	23.98
CB20-96	L Jackson	February wages	391.93	0.00	391.93
CB20-97	HMRC	4th quarter tax	376.55	0.00	376.55
CB20-98	L Jackson	phone top up, 1&1 fee	31.99	2.00	29.99
CB20-	A Davis	play area repair equipment	21.57	0.00	21.57

COLESHILL PARISH COUNCIL

MINUTES

Of the Parish Council meeting held on Monday 15th March 2021 at 7.30 pm, via Video due to Covid 19 pandemic

99		reimbursement			
CB20-100	Amersham Business Services	hi vis for Common & litterpicks	61.00	10.17	50.83
CB20-101	P Whipp	replacement pailings JAF fence	19.70	0.00	19.70
CB20-102	Chiltern Rangers	grass management plan, spring grass-cut	900.00	150.00	750.00
CB20-103	Play inspection company	JAF inspection	81.00	13.50	67.50
CB20-105	A Treacy	reimbursement Zoom, newsletter printing & postage	14.39	2.40	11.99
CB20-104	G Thorne	CMC reimbursement for plants purchase	30.19	5.03	25.16
	TOTAL		2618.88	203.93	2414.95

11. Councillors reports for areas of responsibility:

Green issues sub-committee 11.3.21 – The meeting was advised that Bucks Council has committed to planting 543k trees over 10 years which absorb 60k tons of carbon dioxide.

TFB conference 3rd March- JH advised that a fund is opening for sowing wildflowers on verges, it was agreed that Calvin Richardson should be contacted for more information.

Town & PC liaison meeting – notes already circulated.

Amersham Community Board 18.2.21 – JH attended and advised the board are committed to improving the local area and really putting a lot of effort in.

Responsibility area requirement for Coleshill Cricket Club, Tennis Club & Village Hall – the recent issues with the Cricket Clubs fulfilment of the HS2 Grant has identified that CPC need to have a Councillor reporting back on areas where they are a landlord. It was agreed that NC would be responsible for the Cricket Club, AT responsible for the Tennis Club & Village Hall liaison.

Newsletter- the next issue is being written but is likely to be shorter than previous due to the pandemic.

ANPR – JH advised that Hertfordshire House has a highly sophisticated security system and would be willing to set the village up so that ANPR could be used in the future to identify HS2 vehicles taking short-cuts or any criminal activity. It was agreed that resident's views should be sought and an article put into the village newsletter.

12. Next Meeting date: Monday 19th April 2021 7.30 pm by Zoom.

AT thanked all the villagers for attending the meeting and LT for his contribution.

21:29pm.Meeting Closed.

Signed Date